Duke, Daphne

From:

Sent:

Monday, March 15, 2021 10:39 AM

To:

Duke, Daphne; Latimer, Becky

Subject:

FW: [External] 23rd hearing on Dominion adding charges for solar

Mr. Blount would like his comments to be added to Docket No. 2020-229-E.

Thanks,

Afton

From:

Sent: Saturday, March 13, 2021 8:42 AM

To: PSCSC Communications <communications@psc.sc.gov>
Ct.

Subject: [External] 23rd hearing on Dominion adding charges for solar

Please provide information on how I might be included on information related to this topic (Dominion want's to add charge for net metering). Or be able to video conference into the hearing on the 23rd.

I am a real customer of Dominion. I have solar (8.7 kw) on my roof. I have a contract with SCE&G, which Dominion bought out for net metering with solar. That contract lays out the details of our agreement.

Dominion's logic is flawed. Rate payers should subsidize those of us willing to invest in low carbon power. I work in CO2 capture and environmental implications of global warming caused by fossil carbon (I am a Professional Geologist).

Nearly everywhere else in the world people are rushing to decarbonize everything. But Dominion wants to extract a penalty for decarbonization. Clearly flawed thinking.

All the real experts know that humanity will actually have to go carbon negative for a few hundred years to reverse the climate damage. The prescription is defined in the the IPCC documents. Bill Gates is investing in it.

1.5 C will not achieved easily. 2.0 C is too hot, (so is 1.5C in the tropics). The cumulative forcing effects are frightening.

The PSC should be developing a plan to fully decarbonize soon. Not penalize those of us who can decarbon our lives (we are performing a public service). As for Dominion, if they are not prepared to decarbonize asap, then they should be penalized.

If the PSC don't understand the need, then please let me come explain.

Gerald C. Blount P.G.

Sent from my Verizon LG Smartphone